

UNITED STATES DEPARMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	ATTORNEY DOCKE				
09/025,143	02/18/ 9 8	BURKE	M	9318-0004		
	ALSO TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	. LM12/0814 ☐	EXAMINER			
020583 PENNIE AND	EDMONDS	CM1270814	LAO,S			
	E OF THE AM		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK N	Y 10036-271	1	2755			
			DATE MAILED): 08/14/00		
		₹ :				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Advisory Action

Application No. 09/025,143

Applicant(s)

Examiner

S. Lao

Group Art Unit 2755

Burke

TH	HE PE	RIOD F	OR RES	SPON	ISE: [chec	k only a) or	b)]						
	a) (x expire	s	3	_ months from	n the mailing d	ate of the fina	al rejection.					
	b) [expired is lated rejection	r. In no e	hree me vent, h	onths from th owever, will t	e mailing date he statutory pe	of the final re riod for the re	jection, or on esponse expir	the mailing re later than	date of this Ad six months fror	visory Action, v n the date of th	whichever ne final	
	Any e date deter calcu	extension of on which the mining the lated from	of time mune respon period of the date	ust be onse, the featens of the o	obtained by fi e petition, and sion and the o originally set	ling a petition u I the fee have b corresponding a shortened statu	inder 37 CFR been filed is the amount of the atory period fo	t 1.136(a), the he date of the e fee. Any ex or response o	e proposed e response a dension fee or as set fort	response and to and also the dat pursuant to 37 h in b) above.	he appropriate te for the purpo CFR 1.17 will	fee. The oses of be	
	App perio	ellant's E od for res	Brief is d sponse	lue tw set fo	o months i rth above,	from the dat whichever is	e of the No s later). Se	otice of Appete 37 CFR	peal filed 1.191(d)	on and 37 CFR	1.192(a).	(or within any	
Αŗ	oplica It is N	ant's res IOT dee	ponse to	to the	e final reje e the appli	ction, filed o	on <u>Aug</u> ondition fo	<i>4, 2000</i> or allowan	ha ce:	s been cons	sidered wit	h the following effec	ct,
	The	propose	d amen	dmen	t(s):								
		will be er will not b				lotice of App	eal and ar	n Appeal B	rief.				
] they r	aise ne	w issu	ues that wo	uld require	further con	sideration	and/or se	arch. (See r	note below).		
						atter. (See				•	,		
		they a	re not o	deemo	ed to place	the applica	tion in bett	er form for	appeal b	y materially i	reducing or	simplifying the	
] they p	resent	additi	onal claims	s without car	ncelling a d	correspond	ling numb	er of finally r	rejected clai	ms.	
	N	OTE:	-	•									
		-											_
	□ <i>i</i>	Applicant	t's respo	onse l	nas overco	me the follo	wing reject	tion(s):					_
	New sepa	/ly propo arate, tim	sed or a	amen d ame	ded claims	incelling the	non-allow	able claim	s.	wo	uld be allow	able if submitted in a	<u> </u>
X	for a	allowance <i>licant'<u>s</u> a</i>	e becau <i>Irgumer</i>	ise: <u>nts ar</u>	e not persu	asive. Note	the exami	ner's positi	ion in the	final office a	ction mailed	cation in condition 1 2/4/2000. As to the 1 under "Other")	_
	The		or exhil	bit wil	NOT be o							newly raised by the	_
X										tten explana			
	Clair	ms objec	ted to:										-
	Clair	ms reject	ted: <u>1-2</u>	21									_
												by the Examiner.	
)		•	
X 1	Othe	anothe skill in words	er using the art, Mowbi	a co , and ray us	mpiler/ inte may be fou ses wrappii	rpreter. Suc	h distinction puter diction ning, withou	on is well k onary (eg., ut translatir	nown to o , by MS P ng. If appl	e language ine or ordina ress). In othe icant's	ry er kaahd	BANKHAH RY EXAMINER	